

**Latimer, Becky**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:55 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Contact  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** [External] Letter of protest - Docket No. 2020-229-E  
**Attachments:** Docket 2020-229-E SHundley.pdf

Please see attached letter of protest for Dominion establishment of solar metering tariff.

Sharon Hundley :



Save as PDF file and Email form  
to: [contact@psc.sc.gov](mailto:contact@psc.sc.gov)

\* Required Fields

**Letter of Protest**  
**Docket No. 2020-229-E**

Date: \* 1/13/2021

**Protestant Information:**

Name \* Sharon Hundley

Mailing Address \* ay

City, State Zip \* Prosperity, SC 29127 Phone \* {

E-mail

**1. What is your connection or interest in this case? \* For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)**

I am an existing customer of Dominion Energy and have been a customer when the business was SCE&G. We purchased solar panels from Sunrun in September 2017. The contract runs for 20 years.

**2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. \* (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)**

This proposed rate hike and tariffs are designed to place hardships on customers who have already contracted for solar to obtain relief for high electric bills. Dominion has some of the highest electric costs in the US. We negotiated in good faith based on information from SCEG. Dominion's proposal does not completely grandfather existing contracts.

The proposed new fees: 1 - doubling of basic service chgs from \$10 to \$20 per month; 2 - tariffs or a new solar fee of approx \$40 a month (a PUNITIVE charge) and 3 - slash in the dollar for dollar bill credit for excess energy put back on the grid.

These additional new proposed monthly fees can not be ethical or legal after a contract is negotiated in good faith between Dominion, Sunrun and the customer. The State of SC encourages the use of solar energy and this retroactive bill increase is punitive and budget-busting. This proposal removes all incentives to contract for solar power and should NOT be applied retroactively for existing customers.

**3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding , if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? \***

Yes

Signature Required: Sharon Hundley